## IB Language A: English Literature - Individual Oral (SL/HL)

| Marks | A: Knowledge, understanding and interpretation <br> How much knowledge and understanding does the student show of the work(s) used in the presentation? | B: Analysis and evaluation How well does the candidate use his or her knowledge and understanding of each of the extracts and their associated works/texts to analyse and evaluate the ways in which authorial choices present the global issue? | C: Focus and organization <br> How well does the candidate deliver a structured, wellbalanced and focused oral? How well does the candidate connect ideas in a cohesive manner? | D: Language How clear, accurate and effective is the language? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. | The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below. |
| 1-2 | There is little knowledge and understanding of the extracts and the works/lexts in relation to the global issue. <br> References to the extracts and to the works/texts are infrequent or are ra rely appropriate. | The oral is descriptive or contains no relevant analysis. <br> Authorial choices are seldom identified and, if so, are poorly understood in relation to the presentation of the global issue. | The oral rarely focuses on the task. There are few connections between ideas. | The language is rarely clear or accurate; errors often hinder communication. Vocabulary and syntax are imprecise and frequently inaccurate. <br> Elements of style (for example, register, tone and rhetorical devices) are inappropriate to the task and detract from the oral. |
| 3-4 | There is some knowledge and understanding of the extracts and the works/lexts in relation to the global issue. <br> References to the extracts and to the works/lexts are at times appropriate. | The oral contains some relevant analysis, but it is reliant on description. <br> Authorial choices are identified, but are vaguely treated and/or only partially understood in relation to the presentation of the global issue. | The oral only sometimes focuses on the task, and treatment of the extracts, and of the works/lexts may be unbalanced. <br> There are some connections between ideas, but these are not always coherent. | The language is generally clear; error s sometimes hinder communication. Vocabulary and syntax are often imprecise with inaccuracies. <br> Elements of style (for example, register, tone and rhetorical devices) are oflen inappropriate to the task and detract from the oral. |
| 5-6 | There is satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the extracts and the works/texts and an interpretation of their implications in relation to the global issue. <br> References to the extracts and to the works/texts are generally relevant and mostly support the candidate's ideas. | The oral is analytical in nature, and evaluation of the extracts and their works/texts is mostly relevant. <br> Authorial choices are identified and reasonably understood in relation to the presentation of the global issue. | The oral maintains a focus on the task, despite some lapses; treatment of the extracts and works/lexts is mostly balanced. <br> The development of ideas is mostly logical; ideas are generally connected in a cohesive manner. | The language is clear, errors do not hinder communication. Vocabulary and syntax are appropriate to the task but simple and repetitive. <br> Elements of style (for example, register, tone and rhetorical devices) are appropriate to the task and neither enhance nor detract from the oral. |
| $7-8$ | There is good knowledge and understanding of the extracts and the works/lexts and a sustained interpretation of their implications in relation to the global issue. <br> References to the extracts and to the works/lexts are relevant and support the candidate's ideas. | Analysis and evaluation of the extracts and their works/lexts are relevant and at times insightful. <br> There is a good understanding of how authorial choices are used to present the global issue. | The oral maintains a mostly clear and sustained focus on the task; treatment of the extracts and works/lexts is balanced. <br> The development of ideas is logical; ideas are cohesively connected in an effective manner. | The language is clear and accurate; occasional errors do not hinder communication. Vocabulary and syntax are appropriate and varied. <br> Elements of style (for example, register, tone and rhetorical devices) are appropriate to the task and somewhat enhance the oral. |
| 9-10 | There is excellent knowledge and understanding of the extracts and of the works/lexts and a persuasive interpretation of their implications in relation to the global issue. <br> References to the extracts and to the works/texts are well chosen and effectively support the candidate's ideas. | Analysis and evaluation of the extracts and their works/lexts are relevant and insightful. <br> There is a thorough and nuanced understanding of how authorial choices are used to present the global issue. | The oral maintains a clear and sustained focus on the task; treatment of the extracts and works/texts is well balanced. The development of ideas is logical and convincing; ideas are connected in a cogent manner. | The language is clear, accurate and varied; occasional errors do not hinder communication. Vocabulary and syntax are varied and create effect. <br> Elements of style (for example, register, tone and rhetorical devices) are appropriate to the task and enhance the oral. |


| Total | 40-39 | 38-37 | 36-35 | 34-32 | 31-30 | 29-28 | 27-26 | 25-23 | 22-20 | 19-17 | 16-14 | 13-12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IB Level | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |

